

CLAUSE 4.6 VARIATION TO BUILDING HEIGHT

**Rosehill Public School
Prospect Street, Rosehill**

November 2017

Our Ref: CC160181



SYDNEY

Suite 603, Level 6, 12 Century Circuit
Norwest Business Park NSW 2153
P (02) 9659 0005 **F** (02) 9659 0006
E sydney@barkerryanstewart.com.au

CENTRAL COAST

Studio 5, 78 York Street
East Gosford NSW 2250
P (02) 4325 5255
E coast@barkerryanstewart.com.au

HUNTER

Unit 1, 17 Babilia Close
Beresfield NSW 2322
P (02) 4966 8388 **F** (02) 4966 1399
E hunter@barkerryanstewart.com.au

Objection to a Development Standard – Height of Building

Location of Property - The site encompasses the following lots in Rosehill;

- Lot 6 – 15 DP 1249
- Lot 4 and 8 DP 6355
- Lot 1 DP 572480
- Lot 1 and 2 DP 1249
- Lot 20 DP 1775

Proposed Development – The application seeks development consent for alterations and additions to Rosehill public school including associated landscaping.

Development Standard to which the Objection Relates

Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings within Parramatta Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2011.

What are the Objectives of the Development Standard?

The objectives of Clause 4.3 relating to building height are as follows:

- (a) to ensure that the height of a building is appropriate for the site,
- (b) to ensure that the height of a building complements the streetscape or rural character of the area in which the building is constructed.

State the Numeric Value of the Development Standard

The height of building map within Parramatta LEP 2011 identifies maximum building height of 13m for the site.

State the Proposed Numeric Value of the Development

The proposed development has a maximum height of 13.256m

State the Numeric Variation of the Proposed Development to the Development Standard

The numeric variation of the proposed development to the development standard is 0.256m.

Reason for the Proposed Variation

The architectural design of the building includes a sloped roof.

Reason why Strict Compliance is Unreasonable or Unnecessary in this Instance.

Strict compliance is unnecessary and sufficient planning justification is provided to support the variation, including:

- The building will not cause any overshadowing impacts on open space, playground areas or public or private spaces; and
- The architectural design adds to the streetscape and amenity of the school and neighbourhood.

Sufficient Environmental Planning grounds to Justify Contravention of Development Standard

As shown in the information above, there is adequate planning rationalisation provided to justify the variation, including:

- There are no adverse impacts of the proposal on the school, streetscape or amenity of the area;
- The height of the building will present an architectural element to the school;
- The height will reduce the hard stand footprint of the building; and
- It is an important expansion of public infrastructure.

Is the Development and the Proposed Variation in the Public Interest?

The proposed development will provide a range of social and economic benefits and is of public interest for the following reasons:

- Will future proof the school by providing additional classroom space; and
- Important to the community by expanding an essential piece of public infrastructure.